PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the DATE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY E	RECEIVED: 5-10-	VV		
To: ALAN J. GRANT CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILL AND OLSTEIN 5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068 1) 2) 6) 60 DAT	ekered sy. Ph		INTE 1	PCT CATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF ERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)
	and the control of th	Date of	Mailing mth/year	05 MAY 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference				, , ,
689290.163			IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No.	tional application No. International filing date (d		ny/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/17592	05 June 2003 (05.06.2003	3)		07 June 2002 (07.06.2002)
Applicant				
RICK ET AL.				

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Aftn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992) MINH TAN DAVIS

Telephone No. 571-272-1600

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 689290.163	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of Int Preliminary Examination Report (For		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	h/year) Priority date (day/mo	nth/year)	
PCT/US03/17592	05 June 2003 (05.06.2003)	07 June 2002 (07.06.	2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
IPC: C12Q 1/68(2006.01) USPC: 435/6			_	
Applicant				
RICK ET AL.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathbf{L}}$ sheets, including t	is cover sheet.		
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total ofsheets.				
3. This report contains indica	tions relating to the following it	ems:		
I Basis of the repo	ort			
	ent of report with regard to nove	ty, inventive step and industrial ap	oplicability	
IV Lack of unity of	IV Lack of unity of invention			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Data of submission of the dome-d	I Date	formulation of this		
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report				
05 June 2003 (05.06.2003) 14 April 2006 (14.04.2006)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/ US Authorized of the IPEA/US Authorized of the IPEA/US				
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 MINIT TAM DAVIS				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. 571-272-1642				
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US03/17592	

I.	Basis	s of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-41 as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\square	the claims:
		pages 42-46 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
		the drawings.
		pages NONE, as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
	5 3	pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages 1-5 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
2.		regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
	Thes	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With inter	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the national preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	\boxtimes	contained in the international application in printed form.
	\boxtimes	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
lhi	s repo	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in rt as "originally filed" and are not amexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/17592

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
 The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of: 		
the entire international application,		
claims Nos. 9-35		
because:		
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):		
- ·		
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):		
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.		
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 9-35		
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:		
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box III) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/17592

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT		
Novelty (N)	Claims 2 and 4	YES
	Claims 1,3,5-8 and 36	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 2 and 4	YES
	Claims 1,3,5-8 and 36	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-8, 36	YES
	Claims NONE	NO
İ		

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 36 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 5,914,269.

US 5,914,269 teaches screening assays to identify and determine the ability of a candidate antisense molecule to decrease the expression of EGFR gene in cancer cells (Summary and Example 8). US 5,914,269 teaches methods of modulating expression of EGFR in tissues, or in vivo, using said antisense (Summary).

It is noted that in view of a lack of a definition of "corresponds", any gene would correspond to SEQ ID NO:1.

Although the reference does not specifically teach that EGFR gene corresponds to SEQ ID NO:1, however, the claimed target gene for use in the claimed method appears to be the same as the prior art gene. The office does not have the facilities and resources to provide the factual evidence needed in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material, structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the claimed product is different from those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences.

Thus, the method taught by US 5,968,737 seems to be the same as the claimed method.

Claims 2,4 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for screening an agent that modulates the activity of SEQ ID NO:1 in a cell, which could be a kidney cancer cell.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)